





## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/888,714	06/25/2001	Johan Smets	CM2380	8782	
27752	1590 12/03/2003	EXAM	EXAMINER		
	ER & GAMBLE COM	KUMAR,	KUMAR, PREETI		
	L TECHNICAL CENTE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
6110 CENTER	HILL AVENUE	1751			
CINCINNATI	, OH 45224		DATE MAILED: 12/03/2002	3	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Application	n No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Commence			09/888,71	4	SMETS ET AL.			
Office Action Summary		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Preeti Kur		1751				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic or Reply	ation app	ears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress		
THE I - External efter - If the - If NO - Failur - Any s	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC nsions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commun period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) period for reply is specified above, the maximum state to reply within the set or extended period for reply we eply received by the Office later than three months after than three months after than three months. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. f 37 CFR 1.13 nication. days, a reply utory period w ill, by statute,	66(a). In no eve within the statu ill apply and wil cause the appli	nt, however, may a reply be tim tory minimum of thirty (30) days I expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONEC	ely filed will be considered timel the mailing date of this c (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed	l on <u>15 Se</u>	eptember 2	<u>003</u> .				
2a) <u></u> ☐	☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.							
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)⊠	4) Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 7-12</u> is/are pending in the application.							
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	□ Claim(s) 1-4 and 7-12 is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)□	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicati	on Papers							
9)[	The specification is objected to by the	Examiner	r.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a)⊡ acce	epted or b)[	$\square$ objected to by the E	xaminer.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
* S 13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority down of:  2. Certified copies of the priority down of:  3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internation of the attached detailed Office action acknowledgment is made of a claim for once a specific reference was included of CFR 1.78.  3. The translation of the foreign language of the complete of the foreign language of the complete of the foreign language.	ocuments ocuments f the priori al Bureau for a list of domestic in the first	s have beer to have beer ity docume (PCT Rule of the certif c priority un t sentence visional app	n received. In received in Application received in Application into have been received 17.2(a)). It is ideal copies not received der 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) of the specification or oblication has been received der 35 U.S.C. §§ 120	on No d in this National d. ) (to a provisional in an Application eived. and/or 121 since	l application) Data Sheet. a specific		
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)								
2) 🔲 Notic	e of References Cited (P10-692) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT0 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pap		·	5) Notice of Informal Pa				

Application/Control Number: 09/888,714

Art Unit: 1751

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Amendment

- 1. Claims 1-4 and 7-12 are pending.
- 2. The rejection of claims 1-4 and 7-12 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over von der Osten et al. (US 6,015,783) is maintained for the reasons of record, cited in the previous office actions, paper no.6 and 8.
- 3. The rejection of claims 1-4 and 7-12 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Danielsen et al. (US 6,410,291) is maintained for the reasons of record, cited in the previous office action, paper no.8.

## Response to Arguments

- 4. Applicant's arguments filed on September 15, 2003 in paper # 12, have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant's urge that von der Osten et al. and Danielsen et al. fail to teach or suggest a detergent composition comprising a starch binding domain that is introduced into the claimed detergent composition as part of the present cyclodextrin glucanotransferase enzyme as set forth in the amended claim 1.
- 5. However, it is well known in the art that the most known and studied starch binding domains are found in cyclodextrin glucanotransferases (E.C. 2.4.1.19) and glucoamylases (E.C. 3.2.1.3). One of ordinary skill in the art is well aware that these enzymes possess polypeptide sequences having affinity for binding starch and thus incorporation of the enzyme incorporates the entire polypeptide sequence unless there are specific mutations or deletions. Thus, contrary to Applicant's arguments, since both

Application/Control Number: 09/888,714

Art Unit: 1751

von der Osten et al. and Danielsen et al. specifically suggest a detergent composition

comprising cyclodextrin glucanotransferase enzyme, then they inherently teach the

introduction of the starch binding domain into the detergent composition as part of the

cyclodextrin glucanotransferase enzyme. Furthermore, Applicants' have not provided

where in the prior art references they have found basis to make the allegation that both

von der Osten et al. and Danielsen et al. suggest that the starch binding domains of the

cyclodextrin glucanotransferases (E.C. 2.4.1.19) and glucoamylases (E.C. 3.2.1.3) be

spliced out.

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Preeti Kumar whose telephone number is 703-305-

0178. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00am - 5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Yogendra N. Gupta can be reached on 703-308-4708. The fax phone

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-

872-9310.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-872-

9309.

Preeti Kumar Examiner

Art Unit 1751

PK

LORNA M. DOUYON
PRIMARY EXAMINER